

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 AVOCENT REDMOND CORP.,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 ROSE ELECTRONICS, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

Case No. C06-1711RSL

ORDER GRANTING MOTION  
TO SEAL (Dkt. # 393)

13 This matter comes before the Court on “Avocent’s Motion to Seal Documents.”  
14 Dkt. # 393. Having reviewed the parties’ submissions, the Court finds that the public’s right of  
15 access to the financial information at issue is outweighed by the interests of the public and the  
16 parties in protecting such information. Avocent’s “Reply in Support of Motion to Compel  
17 Discovery” (Dkt. # 394) and the related “Declaration of Anissa Reynolds” (Dkt. # 395) shall  
18 remain under seal. The Clerk of Court is directed to unseal Dkt. # 398, however: this document  
19 was redacted for public viewing and mistakenly filed under seal.  
20

21 Dated this 29th day of May, 2012.

22 

23 Robert S. Lasnik

24 United States District Judge  
25  
26